

Application No. 09/197278
Page 11

Amendment
Attorney Docket No. S63.2B-6769-US01

Remarks

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 23 2006

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action dated June 6, 2006.

In the Office Action, claim 59 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

Claims 39 – 50, 52 – 54, 56 – 58, and 60 – 61 were said to be allowable in the Office Action.

Claim Rejections—35 U.S.C. § 112

Claim 59 was rejected in the Office Action as failing to comply with the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112. Although Applicants disagree, in the interest of furthering prosecution, Applicants have canceled claim 59 without prejudice or disclaimer. As such, Applicants believe that the application, with claims 39 – 50, 52 – 54, 56 – 58, and 60 – 61, is in condition for allowance.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application No. 09/197278
Page 12

Amendment
Attorney Docket No. S63.2B-6769-US01

Conclusion


In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe that the application, with claims 39 – 50, 52 – 54, 56 – 58, and 60 – 61 is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and prompt action to that effect would be greatly appreciated.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable to put the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicants' undersigned representative at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS

Date: August 23, 2006

By: 
James L. Shands
Registration No.: 54,439

6109 Blue Circle Drive, Suite 2000
Minnetonka, MN 55343-9185
Telephone: (952) 563-3000
Facsimile: (952) 563-3001

F:\wpwork\jls\06769us01_and_20060823.do:

BEST AVAILABLE COPY